

# G OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Toensmeier E, Ferguson R, Mehra M (2020) Perennial vegetables: A neglected resource for biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and nutrition. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0234611. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611</u>

Editor: Birinchi Sarma, Banaras Hindu University, INDIA

Received: September 16, 2019

Accepted: May 29, 2020

Published: July 10, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Toensmeier et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Perennial vegetables: A neglected resource for biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and nutrition

### Eric Toensmeier<sup>1\*</sup>, Rafter Ferguson<sup>2</sup>, Mamta Mehra<sup>3</sup>

1 Perennial Agriculture Institute, Holyoke, Massachusetts, United States of America, 2 Union of Concerned Scientists, Washington, D.C., United States of America, 3 Perennial Agriculture Institute, Holyoke, Massachusetts, United States of America

\* toensmeier@gmail.com

# Abstract

Perennial vegetables are a neglected and underutilized class of crops with potential to address 21<sup>st</sup> century challenges. They represent 33–56% of cultivated vegetable species, and occupy 6% of world vegetable cropland. Despite their distinct relevance to climate change mitigation and nutritional security, perennial vegetables receive little attention in the scientific literature. Compared to widely grown and marketed vegetable crops, many perennial vegetables show higher levels of key nutrients needed to address deficiencies. Trees with edible leaves are the group of vegetables with the highest levels of these key nutrients. Individual "multi-nutrient" species are identified with very high levels of multiple nutrients for addressing deficiencies. This paper reports on the synthesis and meta-analysis of a heretofore fragmented global literature on 613 cultivated perennial vegetables, representing 107 botanical families from every inhabited continent, in order to characterize the extent and potential of this class of crops. Carbon sequestration potential from new adoption of perennial vegetables is estimated at 22.7–280.6 MMT CO2-eq/yr on 4.6–26.4 Mha by 2050.

## Introduction

The perennialization of crop production has been proposed as a multifunctional approach to address environmental and other challenges in agriculture, due to the many benefits of perennial crops [1], but the perennialization of vegetable production has largely been ignored. Perennial vegetables (PVs) are a neglected and underutilized class of crops with potential to address crises of crop biodiversity, climate change, and nutrient deficiencies. While some individual species have been studied closely, as a class PVs have received little attention in peerreviewed literature (for exceptions see [1-3]), though a body of grey literature has developed on the subject in recent decades [4, 5].

PVs are perennial plants cultivated for their edible vegetative growth (e.g., leaves) and/or reproductive structures (e.g., flowerbuds). They include some savory tree fruits that are used in cooked dishes, but not sweet or tart dessert fruits. Further definition is offered in the Methods below.

Many PVs are suited to conditions where production of annual vegetables is difficult. For example, PV crops include numerous halophytes, desert species, aquatic species, and shade crops. The many shade tolerant PVs are particularly suited to multistrata agroforestry systems [4].

While many PVs are regional crops, of which little is known outside of the areas in which they are cultivated, a few are widely known and globally traded commodity crops. PVs are currently cultivated on at least 3.3 Mha, 6% of the 52 Mha of world vegetable land. FAO [6] reports 1.1 Mha in table olives (*Olea europaea*, 10.6 Mha in global production, of which 10% is used for table olives, here defined as a vegetable use, [7]), 1.5 Mha in asparagus (*Asparagus officinalis*), 0.6 Mha in avocado (*Persea americana*), and 0.1 Mha in globe artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*). Additionally, India has 38,000 ha in the tree vegetable moringa (*Moringa oleifera*, [8]). Note that these are only five of the over 600 cultivated species inventoried in this paper, though the area in cultivation of most PVs is surely very small.

Greater adoption of a wider array of perennial vegetables could help to address some of the central, interlocking issues of the 21<sup>st</sup> century: climate change, biodiversity, and nutrition. The great diversity of PVs is a powerful tool to address the loss of crop biodiversity. As perennials, PVs sequester carbon, particularly the woody species. Many PVs are high in the key nutrients needed to remedy nutrient deficiencies that impact billions of people.

### **Crop biodiversity**

Crop biodiversity is essential to agroecological food production and multifunctional agriculture. However, globally, crop biodiversity is declining, in part driven by intensive, industrialized agriculture [9] Eighty percent of global crop production comes from 17 botanical families, just 4% of the total number of plant families [10].

Many benefits can come from increasing crop biodiversity. Increased cultivation of neglected and underutilized perennial crops can increase resilience to climate change impacts. This kind of crop diversification is an important risk-management strategy, especially in the context of the narrowing of crop diversity on which food security depends. Many perennial crops produce in seasons when other crops are not available [11]. Crop diversification at the family level can also reduce pest pressure, as many pests and diseases are family-specific [12].

### Climate change mitigation

Agricultural production is the source of 12.5% of anthropogenic emissions, yet the agriculture sector also has mitigation potential, including via carbon sequestration [13]. The perennialization of agriculture is one way to sequester excess atmospheric carbon in soils and living biomass. This includes transition to reduced tillage perennial crops and use of agroforestry systems which incorporate perennial plants [14]. PVs are well-suited to no-till and agroforestry production systems as they do not require tillage after establishment, and many are shade-tolerant, making them ideal for the understory of agroforestry systems. For example, in western China, the PV species daylily (*Hemerocallis fulva*) is cultivated for its edible flowers in the understory of rows of jujube (*Zizyphus jujuba*), alternating with rows of annual crops [15].

### Nutrition

Recent research has shown that for all of the world's people to consume a healthy portion of vegetables in their diet, global vegetable production would need to be tripled [16]. While underconsumption of vegetables is a global problem, economic and development context produce distinct syndromes of nutrient deficiency: what is sometimes called "traditional"

malnutrition (largely in the Global South), and industrial diet deficiencies (largely in the Global North) [17].

An estimated two billion people are affected by traditional malnutrition, a set of deficiencies of particular vitamins and minerals. The most significant of these deficiencies are iron, zinc, vitamin A, iodine, and folate. Collectively deficiencies in these nutrients account for 7% of global disease burden [18]. While iodine is not found at useful levels in terrestrial plants, iron, vitamin A, zinc and folate are found at high levels in some vegetables including PVs.

Meanwhile, the low vegetable intake characteristic of the Western industrial diet produces its own separate set of nutritional deficiencies, impacting hundreds of millions of people and increasing the prevalence of heart disease, osteoporosis, high blood pressure, diabetes, and obesity. The key nutrients in this case include fiber, calcium, magnesium, and antioxidants including vitamins A, C, and E [17]. Magnesium deficiency affects 60% of people in the United States, and is implicated in diabetes, high blood pressure, and heart disease [19]. Calcium is critical to reducing risks from osteoporosis [20]. Dietary fiber is correlated with reduction in coronary heart disease and obesity. In the United States average fiber intake is less than 50% of the recommended levels [21]. Antioxidants help to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease, a widespread health issue in wealthy countries [22]. Many PVs are quite high in fiber, calcium, magnesium, and antioxidants and thus have potential to address these industrial diet deficiencies.

### A role for perennial vegetables

Given the relevance of PVs to the interlocking crises of crop biodiversity loss, climate change, and nutrient deficiencies, it is imperative to explore the potential of this underutilized and neglected class of vegetables. In order to address the scope of this resource and its potential contribution to addressing the agricultural biodiversity crisis, we must ask how many PV species are cultivated, and what agroecological niches they occupy in regards to form, parts used, and climate suitability. To investigate their climate mitigation potential, this study investigates the per-hectare carbon sequestration potential of PVs, as well as asking how widely they might be adopted in the future. To determine the nutritional impact of PVs, this study asks how PVs compare to globally-traded vegetables, and seeks standout species both "suberabundant" in individual key nutrients as well as "multi-nutrient" crops with high levels of two or more nutrients essential to addressing deficiencies. The study also investigates the relative nutrition of different forms (e.g. woody plants) and parts used (e.g. flowerbuds).

### Materials and methods

### Perennial vegetables defined

As PVs are a class of crops that has received little notice, we begin by offering a functional definition. The criteria for this definition include botanical form, harvest considerations, what parts used, and how those parts are used in the world's cuisines.

For purposes of this study, "perennial" indicates crops which live for 3 or more years. We divide the PVs discussed in this study into three categories by form: woody plants, vines, and herbs. Woody plants include trees, shrubs, bamboos, palms, cacti, mangroves, and woody succulents. Perennial vines include both lianas and herbaceous vines. Perennial herbs include forbs, ferns, grasses and grasslike plants, and both floating and emergent aquatics. For the purposes of this discussion we include perennial crops that are sometimes cultivated as annuals. For example, the African eggplant (*Solanum aethiopicum*) is most commonly grown as an annual but can also be managed as a perennial. We include some species which include both perennial and annual (or biennial) crop varieties, such as kale (*Brassica oleracea*).

In order to be considered perennial, vegetables must provide more than one year of harvest. We exclude crops that live for several years but are killed by harvest, such as with some heartof-palm vegetables like coconut [23].

Some PVs have edible vegetative parts. This includes leaves, shoots, and other vegetative structures like petioles and cactus cladodes. Culinary herbs are excluded based on the assumption that they are consumed in smaller quantities than vegetables due to strong flavors. Some species are used as culinary herbs in one region, and vegetables in another. In such cases, the crop is included in this study's analysis.

Other PVs have edible reproductive structures, including flowerbuds, flowers, unripe fruit, ripe fruit, and unripe seeds. Classification of fruits as vegetables is challenging. Many annual fruits are commonly used as vegetables, such as tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), string beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and winter squash (*Cucurbita* spp.). The distinction is not botanical but rather based on how the fruit is used and how it tastes. Use also varies to some degree between cultures. This study follows [24] in distinguishing between dessert fruits which are sweet or tart, and vegetable fruits which are eaten in salads, cooked dishes, and appetizers. Dessert fruits are excluded from the study. PV fruits are used in salads, cooked in soups and stews, or otherwise a central part of a meal. For example, the fruit of chayote (*Sechium edule*) is widely consumed as a vegetable in Mesoamerican cuisines and throughout the tropics. In some cases a fruit is a vegetable when unripe, and a dessert fruit when ripe, as in the papaya (*Carica papaya*).

Roots crops like sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and starchy fruits like breadfruit (*Artocarpus altilis*) are excluded on the grounds that nutritionally they are more properly seen as staple crops primarily producing carbohydrates, with lower vitamin and mineral content than other vegetables. Root crops are also incompatible with no-till perennial production systems as they require excavation for harvest [13].

### **Biodiversity**

This study aims to quantify for the first time how many PV species are in cultivation, and identify their agroecological niches as to climate, shade tolerance, form and parts used. In the absence of a well-integrated literature, several approaches were used to identify and characterize the global extent of cultivated perennial vegetable crop species.

The first approach analyzed species from [25], which provides a global list of cultivated vegetables. Though incomplete, it is international and can be used as a proxy for the world's vegetable crop biodiversity. After the elimination of root crops (as above), the remaining species were categorized into perennial, perennial grown as annual, and annual crops.

Second, we reviewed 6,000 species profiled in [26], a six-volume compendium of cultivated crops (excluding ornamentals), identifying those cultivated as vegetables. All species that are cultivated for the purpose of vegetable production, whether or not it is their primary use, were considered for this study. Note that cultivation does not necessarily indicate domestication.

The third approach was a search for cultivated PVs in global listings of crops and vegetables, as well as regional and specialty resources. The resources used in developing this listing were [4, 5, 13, 26–53]. These crops were classified by part used, form, cultivation status, and adaptability to climate, moisture, and shade. This information is important in assessing the PV species available to fit various agroecological niches.

All cultivated PVs identified by the study were characterized by climate suitability and shade tolerance. Thermal climate analysis divided the crops into tropical lowlands (USDA hardiness zones 10–11, elevation under 1500m), tropical highlands (USDA hardiness zones 10–11, elevation over 1500m), subtropical (USDA zone 9), warm temperate (USDA zones 7–8),

cold temperate (USDA zones 4–6), boreal (USDA zones 2–3), and arctic (USDA zone 1). Rain-fall categories are humid (1000+mm rainfall/yr), semi-arid (250-1000mm rainfall/yr), arid (0-250mm rainfal/yr), and aquatic. Shade tolerance was also assessed.

### **Carbon sequestration**

In order to assess the potential carbon sequestration potential of PVs, we must first estimate per-hectare sequestration rates, adoption rates, and total potential adoption. Existing data are sparse for all aspects of this question. Despite this, an approach based on available data and a range of plausible scenarios is useful for exploring the and defining the boundaries of the solution space for these critical questions. In order to model potential impact, we therefore developed twelve scenarios built around estimates for carbon sequestration rate, and a range of plausible values for rate of adoption, potential (maximum) scale of adoption, and the ratio of adoption between woody and herbaceous PVs.

We consulted the research literature for the available data on per-hectare carbon sequestration impacts of individual PVs, as well as sequestration rates for relevant farming systems (Table 1), categorized by a three-level classification scheme: a broad top-level dichotomy of (1) woody perennials and (2) perennial vines and herbs, and then production system and sample species or system. The average rate for woody PVs is 3.7 tC/ha/yr, while that of perennial vines and herbs is 0.43 tC/ha/yr/.

Carbon sequestration rates of PVs, where known, are shown here. Rates for farming systems that match PV production systems are shown as well.

The ratio of Mha in woody to non-woody PVs is important to scenario development, as woody PVs have much higher sequestration rates. Currently there at 1.7 Mha of woody Pvs and 1.6 Mha of herbaceous perennial PVs [16]. The scenarios assume that 25%, 50%, and 75% of new adoption is woody.

The potential scale of adoption of PVs is also necessary to calculate climate impact. One set of scenarios assumes that total global vegetable production area remains constant at 58.2 Mha. A second set of scenarios assume that world vegetable production area triples to 174.5 Mha, in

| PV Category           | PV production system type                                    | Sample species or system                         | Sequestration rate MgC/<br>ha/yr | Source |
|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|--------|
| Woody perennial crops | Orchard and plantation; full-sized woody plants for flowers, | Convert cropland to orchard                      | 3.5                              | [54]   |
|                       | fruits, and unripe seeds                                     | Olea europaea                                    | 2.6                              | [55]   |
|                       |  | Bactris gasipaes                                 | 5.1                              | [56]   |
|                       |  | Dacryodes edulis                                 | 7.8                              | [57]   |
|                       |  | Tree crops-temperate                             | 2.1                              | [58]   |
|                       |  | Tree crops-tropical                              | 1.8-10.0                         | [58]   |
|                       | Bamboo for shoot production                                  | Bamboo plantations                               | 6.0-13.0                         | [59]   |
|                       | Coppiced woody plants for edible leaves                      | Fodder tree blocks                               | 0.1-0.5                          | [54]   |
|                       |  | Short rotation coppice                           | 1.18                             | [60]   |
|                       |  | Average sequestration rate                       | 3.71                             |        |
| Perennial vines and   | Perennial vines  | Vitis vinifera                                   | 0.3-0.8                          | [61]   |
| herbaceous crops      | Robust perennial herbs (over 2m height)                      | Giant biomass grasses                            | 1                                | [62]   |
|                       |  | Perennial grains                                 | 0.3-0.5                          | [63]   |
|                       | Ordinary perennial herbs (under 2m height)                   | Residential landscape with herbaceous perennials | 0.0-0.1                          | [64]   |
|                       |  | Average sequestration rate                       | 0.43                             |        |

#### Table 1. Carbon sequestration rates of PVs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.t001

line with estimates of the growth needed to provide all of humanity with sufficient nutrients [16].

Adoption rates are set based on historical data from [6] for the global production area of artichoke, asparagus, avocado, and olive from 1967–2017. In the absence of similar data for the other PVs, only the sum of these four PVs is considered in projecting the future adoption between 2020–2050. Both linear and exponential trends are modeled.

Twelve scenarios were developed, using the variables adoption rate (linear and exponential), percent of woody PVs out of total PVs adopted (25%, 50%, and 75%), and total global vegetable production area (58.2 Mha and 174.5 Mha). In scenarios 1 and 2, the current world vegetable production area is used, while in scenarios 3 and 4, world vegetable production area is tripled to meet nutritional needs. In scenarios 1 and 3, a linear adoption rate is used, while in scenarios 2 and 4 an exponential rate is used. For each scenario, woody PVs are assumed to occupy 25%, 50%, and 75% of new adoption.

### Nutrition

Determining the potential of PVs to address nutrient deficiencies is a core goal of this study. To this end, it aims to compare PVs to globally-traded vegetables in several ways. Individual species with "superabundant" levels of single key nutrients are identified, as are "multi-nutrient" species with high levels of multiple key nutrients. Subclasses of PVs, based on form and part used, are also compared to globally-traded vegetables.

Nutrients assessed were those identified as priorities for addressing deficiencies in the literature (fiber, Ca, Fe, Zn, folate, and antioxidants). In the case of antioxidants, vitamins A, C, and E were selected as data are more available for these than other antioxidants. Note that terrestrial plants do not contain significant iodine, so this nutrient was not assessed despite the importance of addressing iodine deficiency.

Following an extensive literature review, we conducted a meta-analysis on nutrient content using data from [17, 28, 32, 36, 40, 41, 49–50, 53, 65–109]. Our analysis produced mean nutrient values for 240 species of perennial vegetables, including 31 species that are frequently grown as annuals despite having a perennial lifecycle.

As the international standard for vegetable nutrient testing is a fresh weight sample of 100 grams, this was used as the basis of data collection and comparison for this study. In several cases values were converted from dry weight to fresh weight. As vegetables are usually consumed fresh (rather than dried), and this study compares vegetables to vegetables, values were not converted to dry weight, though dry weight comparison would offer some advantages.

For several reasons, the direct ability of these crops to meet daily requirements was not calculated. The first reason is that for all vegetables, limited bioavailability means that less than 100% of nutrients are actually digested. Bioavailability is variable, for example increasing if small amounts of animal protein are consumed, and this makes calculating the precise dietary impact of vegetable consumption difficult. Nevertheless there is consensus that vegetables are an essential source of nutrients [110]. The second reason is that the daily requirement for each nutrient varies widely between ages, genders, and pregnancy and lactation status [111]. It was therefore determined that the data should be compared to vegetables which are widely grown and marketed.

To this end, we selected 22 vegetable species tracked by the FAO Statistical Service [16] as a reference set and nutritional benchmark. These reference crops, both annual and perennial, include okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*), leek (*Allium ampeloprasum*), scallion (*Allium fistulo-sum*), asparagus (*Asparagus officinalis*), broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* Italica group), cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* Capitata group), cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* Botrytis group), kale and

|                | Fiber     | Ca            | Fe        | Mg           | Zn        | A         | B9            | С             | E         |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
|                | %         | mg/100g       | mg/100g   | mg/100g      | mg/100g   | Mg RAE    | mcg/100g      | mg/100g       | mg/100g   |
| Very low       | 0.00-0.39 | 0.00-11.84    | 0.00-0.46 | 0.00-11.24   | 0.00-0.15 | 0.00      | 0.00-13.49    | 0.00-5.64     | 0.00-0.04 |
| Low            | 0.40-1.45 | 11.85-86.71   | 0.47-1.01 | 11.25-35.75  | 0.16-0.29 | 0.00-0.18 | 13.50-73.07   | 5.65-42.33    | 0.05-0.73 |
| Medium         | 1.46-2.50 | 86.72-161.57  | 1.02-1.55 | 35.76-60.26  | 0.30-0.42 | 0.19-0.37 | 73.08-132.63  | 42.34-79.01   | 0.74-1.42 |
| High           | 2.51-3.85 | 161.58-238.70 | 1.56-2.11 | 60.27-85.50  | 0.43-0.56 | 0.38-0.55 | 132.64-194.00 | 79.02-116.80  | 1.43-2.54 |
| Very high      | 3.59-7.15 | 238.71-477.40 | 2.12-4.21 | 85.51-171.00 | 0.57-1.12 | 0.56-1.11 | 194.01-388.00 | 116.81-233.59 | 2.55-5.08 |
| Extremely high | 7.16+     | 477.41+       | 4.22+     | 171.01+      | 1.13+     | 1.12+     | 388.01+       | 233.6+        | 5.09      |

| Table 2. | Nutrient | concentration | classes | based | on refe | erence | crop | nutrient | leve | ls |
|----------|----------|---------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|------|----------|------|----|
|----------|----------|---------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|------|----------|------|----|

"Very low" indicates nutrient values lower than the lowest value for the reference vegetables. "Low" is from the lowest tertile of the reference vegetable range, "medium" is the middle tertile, and "high" is the highest tertile. "Very high" ranges from the highest end of the range to twice the highest end, while "extremely high" is more than double the highest end of the range.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.t002

collard greens (*Brassica oleracea* Acephala group), pak choi and Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa*), pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), summer squash and zucchini (*Cucurbita* spp.), winter squash (*Cucurbita* spp.), globe artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*), lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*), avocado (*Persea americana*), green bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), pea (*Pisum sativum*), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), eggplant (*Solanum melongena*), spinach (*Spinacea oler-acea*), and sweet corn (*Zea mays*). While cassava leaf (*Manihot esculenta*) is also tracked by FAO, we opted to exclude it as an outlier due to its exceptionally high values. The values for summer squash/zucchini and winter squash represent the mean values for *Cucurbita moschata*, *C. pepo*, *C. maxima*, and unspecified *Cucurbita* spp, at the unripe stage for summer squash/zucchini and ripe stage for winter squash.

We constructed categorical levels for each nutrient based on nutrient levels across the set of the reference crops (Table 2). For each nutrient, we divided the range found within the reference set into "low" (the lowest third), "medium" (the middle third) and "high" (the highest third). We classified nutrient concentrations below the lowest value found in the reference vegetables as "very low," while those above the highest value as "very high." We classified nutrient values more than twice the highest reference crop levels "extremely high." For Vitamin A, the lowest point in the range for the reference vegetables was 0. For this reason the "very low" category is not applied to Vitamin A.

For those nutrients for which data was acquired, we compared nutrient content across categories of crop form and part used, in terms of the percentage of crops in each category with one or more superabundant nutrients. Data was not available for every nutrient for all reference crops. Similarly, data was not available for every nutrient for each PV (see S1 Fig in the supplementary materials for information on the number of data points for each nutrient, plant form, and part used). It is possible that complete data for reference crops and/or PVs would shift our results slightly.

In order to assess the potential of PV crops to ameliorate nutritional deficiencies associated with traditional malnutrition (iron, zinc, vitamin A, iodine, and folate) and industrial malnutrition (including fiber, calcium, magnesium, and antioxidants), we scored each crop separately based on the abundance of nutrients relevant to each syndrome. In both cases, "extremely high" levels were given 3 points, "very high" two points, and "high" one point. A combined score of 6 was set to qualify species as "multi-nutrient" crops.

In order to relate nutrient content with agroecological niche, we also show superabundant and multi-nutrient PVs according to the plant form and part used.

It is also a goal of this study to identify standout species with superabundant levels of individual key nutrients. To this end, the vegetables were ranked in order of concentration from highest to lowest for each nutrient. This enabled the development of "top ten" lists for each nutrient, showing the ten crops with the highest levels of the nutrient in descending order.

### Results

### Biodiversity

PVs are a large and diverse group. They represent a third to half of vegetable crop species and over 7% of all cultivated crops. Most are herbaceous perennials, but over a third are woody plants. Leaves are the most widely used part. There are species suited to virtually all climates where crops are grown.

A literature search was conducted for this study with the aim of compiling a comprehensive list of cultivated PVs. This search found 613 cultivated species of PVs. Further analysis broke down these species by cultivation status, form and part used, and climate suitability. The full list is included as supplemental document "Cultivated Perennial Vegetable Species" as an Excel spreadsheet.

We approached the goal of assessing the extent of PV cultivation in several ways. Our analysis of [25], a reference which is limited exclusively to cultivated vegetables, found 63 fully perennial crops out of a total of 180 vegetable crops, including 37 perennials sometimes grown as annuals. PVs represent 35–56% of the cultivated vegetable species profiled in this source.

This study also sought to determine the percentage of all crops which are PVs. Of the 6,000 crop species and subspecies profiled in [26], 470 are perennials cultivated as vegetables, including 45 perennials sometimes grown as annuals, suggesting that 7.7% of all cultivated crop species are PVs.

Cultivated PV species were broken down by form and part used. Woody plants comprised 36.5%, vines 11.7%, and perennial herbs 50.9%. Of these, 114 (18.5%) are perennials often grown as annuals. Fig 1 shows the part used for woody, vine, and herbaceous perennial PVs. Note that some species have more than one part used. While 80% of global crop production comes from only 14 botanical families [10], this study found that cultivated PVs represent 107 botanical families.

The level of domestication of these 613 cultivated PV species was also assessed (see Fig 2). Eighteen (2.9%) are global crops, with over \$1 billion USD in sales [6]. Minor global crops, which are cultivated outside of their continent of origin but with sales under \$1 billion, account for 30.7% of cultivated PVs. Regional crops, which though cultivated have never been taken up outside of their region of origin, make up 61.0% of cultivated PVs. Historic crops, which are formerly cultivated but now abandoned, are 1.5%. New and experimental crops account for the remaining 2.6% of cultivated PVs.

In order to assess the geographic variability of PV crops, we analyzed the full list of cultivated species to determine climate suitability. While PV species are suited to virtually every climate where crops are grown, diversity follows a familiar latitudinal gradient: increasing with humidity and temperature, from the poles toward the equator. Fig 3 shows the distribution of PV species for climate types.

Many PVs can be, in some cases must be, cultivated in shade. Nine percent of the 613 cultivated species identified in the study are suited to full shade, and 46% to partial shade.



**Fig 1. Plant form and parts used for cultivated perennial vegetable species.** This figure shows the form (woody perennial, perennial vine, or perennial herb) and part consumed as vegetable (leaf, shoot, other vegetative part, flowerbud, flower, fruit, and unripe seed). Note that some species have more than one part consumed as a vegetable and thus are shown more than once.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.g001

### **Carbon sequestration**

The carbon sequestration impact of PV adoption under the 12 adoption scenarios as described in the methodology section is shown in Table 3. Total new adoption area of PVs ranges from 4.9–26.4 Mha. Carbon sequestration ranges from 22.7–280.6 MMT CO2-eq/yr in 2050.

This figure shows the carbon sequestration impact for each of 12 scenarios based on Mha new adoption of PVs by 2050, the percentage of woody PVs, and sequestration rates.

### Nutrition

In the comparison of PVs widely-grown reference vegetables, our results indicate that many PVs are high in the nutrients required to address widespread nutritional deficiencies, and certain species and subclasses of PVs are especially likely to be high in the key nutrients needed. The full set of data including those used in meta-analysis is included as <u>S1 Data</u> "Perennial Vegetable Nutrient Data" as an Excel spreadsheet.

Of 240 PV species, 154 (64%) showed superabundant levels of nutrients (rated "very high" or "extremely high" as defined in Table 2). A full 23 PVs (10%) showed superabundant levels of four or more nutrients. The proportion of crops with superabundant nutrients across plant form and part used in displayed in Fig 4. Proportional levels of superabundant nutrients, especially of multiple nutrients, are most prominent in woody plants with edible leaves.

Table 4 shows the species with high levels of nutrients (annual and perennial) with the greatest potential to address traditional malnutrition. These nutrient levels are the results of



**Fig 2. Domestication status.** This figure shows the number and relative portion of cultivated PV species by domestication status. "Global" indicates produced in more than one region, with global sales over \$1 billion USD. "Minor global" crops are produced in multiple regions, with value under \$1 billion. "Regional" crops are cultivated only in their region of origin. "Historic" crops were cultivated historically but have been abandoned as crops. "New and experimental" crops are new to cultivation or under active breeding development.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.g002

meta-analysis, often from many sources, so references cannot be shown here. However, the "meta-analysis" sheet of the Perennial Vegetable Nutrition Data in the supplemental materials shows sources for all nutrition data. Note that several species only make the chart due to the combination of different plant parts. Woody perennials were the largest group in this category, with 62% of species being woody. They are followed by herbaceous perennials (25%), and vines (13%). Leaves are the part eaten for 81%, with leaves and flowers and/or fruit for 13% and fruit for 13%.

Table 5 shows the multi-nutrient species with the greatest potential to address industrial diet deficiencies. Note that several species only make the chart due to the combination of different plant parts and may or may not be ranked for an individual part. Note that several species only make the chart due to the combination of different plant parts. Woody perennials were again the largest group in this category, with 58% of species being woody. They are followed by herbaceous perennials (29%), and vines (13%). A majority (75%) feature leaves as the sole edible part, with 17% leaves with additional parts, and 8% shoots.

For each nutrient, the ten crops with highest concentrations were ranked. See S2 Table "Top Ten Species by Nutrient Concentration" for results. Of these species with at least one elite-level nutrient concentration, 52% are woody, 33% are herbaceous perennials, and 15% are vines. For the part used, 64% are leaves, 13% are fruits and unripe seeds, 7% are flowers or flowerbuds, and 5% are shoots.



**Fig 3. Climate suitability of cultivated perennial vegetables.** "Arctic-boreal" includes arctic and boreal species (USDA hardiness zones 1–3), "temperate" includes warm and cold temperate species (USDA hardiness zones 4–8), "tropical" includes tropical lowlands (USDA zones 10–11, below 1500m elevation), tropical highlands (USDA zones 10–11, above 1500m elevation), and subtropics (USDA zone 9).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.g003

### Discussion

PVs are highly a highly diverse and underutilized class of crop plants. They offer impressive potential to address nutrient deficiencies impacting billions of people around the world. PVs sequester carbon, and increased adoption to provide nutrition would offer this important

Table 3. Global scenarios and sequestration impact of new PV adoption in 2050.

| Scenario | Mha global<br>vegetable<br>production | Adoption<br>Rate | % Woody<br>PVs | Mha in<br>Woody PVs | MMT CO2-eq/yr<br>in Woody PVs | Mha in Non-<br>Woody PVs | MMT CO2-eq/yr in<br>Non-Woody PVs | Total Mha<br>in PVs | Total MMT<br>CO2-eq/yr PVs |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 1a       | 58.2                                  | Linear           | 25%            | 1.2                 | 4.6                           | 3.7                      | 5.8                               | 4.9                 | 22.7                       |
| 1b       | 58.2                                  | Linear           | 50%            | 2.5                 | 33.8                          | 2.5                      | 3.9                               | 4.9                 | 37.7                       |
| 1c       | 58.2                                  | Linear           | 75%            | 3.7                 | 50.7                          | 1.2                      | 1.9                               | 4.9                 | 52.6                       |
| 2a       | 58.2                                  | Exponential      | 25%            | 2.2                 | 30.0                          | 6.6                      | 10.4                              | 8.8                 | 40.4                       |
| 2b       | 58.2                                  | Exponential      | 50%            | 4.4                 | 60.0                          | 4.4                      | 6.9                               | 8.8                 | 67.0                       |
| 2c       | 58.2                                  | Exponential      | 75%            | 6.6                 | 90.1                          | 2.2                      | 3.5                               | 8.8                 | 93.5                       |
| 3a       | 174.5                                 | Linear           | 25%            | 3.7                 | 50.7                          | 11.1                     | 17.5                              | 14.8                | 68.2                       |
| 3b       | 174.5                                 | Linear           | 50%            | 7.4                 | 101.3                         | 7.4                      | 11.7                              | 14.8                | 113.0                      |
| 3c       | 174.5                                 | Linear           | 75%            | 11.1                | 152.0                         | 3.7                      | 5.8                               | 14.8                | 157.8                      |
| 4a       | 174.5                                 | Exponential      | 25%            | 6.6                 | 90.1                          | 19.8                     | 31.2                              | 26.4                | 121.2                      |
| 4b       | 174.5                                 | Exponential      | 50%            | 13.2                | 180.1                         | 13.2                     | 20.8                              | 26.4                | 200.9                      |
| 4c       | 174.5                                 | Exponential      | 75%            | 19.8                | 270.2                         | 6.6                      | 10.4                              | 26.4                | 280.6                      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.t003



**Fig 4. Proportions of perennial vegetables with one or more superabundant nutrients by plant form and part consumed.** Nutrient levels are classified as superabundant when they are higher than the highest level of that nutrient among the reference vegetables. Here the percentage of crops with one or more superabundant nutrients is displayed for 240 perennial vegetables broken down by plant form and part used. The numbers of crops within each category are displayed along the top of the plot.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.g004

cobenefit. Their suitability to a wide range of climates, as well as for growing conditions adverse to annual vegetables, indicates a potential for scaling up production across a broad geographic range.

Carbon sequestration impacts are variable depending on the assumptions used. The mitigation potential of PVs will be greater if a) global vegetable area is increased to be sufficient to

| Name                      | Form           | Thermal Climate                    | Moisture               | Part                          | Fe | Zn | A  | Folate |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----|----|----|--------|
| Cnidoscolus aconitifolius | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid, semi-arid, arid | Leaf                          | XH |    | XH |        |
| Malva sylvestris          | Perennial herb | Temperate, boreal/arctic           | Humid                  | Leaf                          | XH | XH |    |        |
| Manihot esculenta         | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf                          | XH | XH | VH |        |
| Momordica cochinchinensis | Perennial vine | Tropical                           | Humid                  | Leaf, unripe fruit, fruit     | VH | VH | VH | Н      |
| Monochoria vaginalis      | Perennial herb | Tropical                           | Aquatic                | Leaf                          | VH | VH | VH |        |
| Moringa oleifera          | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf, unripe fruit, flowerbud | XH | VH | VH |        |
| Morus alba                | Woody          | Tropical, temperate                | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf                          | XH | XH | VH |        |
| Persicaria barbata        | Perennial herb | Tropical                           | Humid                  | Leaf                          | XH | VH |    | VH     |
| Pterocarpus mildbraedii   | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid                  | Leaf                          | XH | XH |    |        |
| Salix reticulata          | Woody          | Boreal/arctic                      | Humid                  | Leaf                          | XH | XH |    |        |
| Senna obtusifolia         | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid                  | Leaf*                         | XH |    | XH |        |
| Senna sophera             | Woody          | Tropical                           | Humid                  | Leaf                          | VH | VH | VH | Н      |
| Solanum aethiopicum       | Perennial herb | Tropical*                          | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf                          | XH | VH | VH |        |
| Toona sinensis            | Woody          | Tropical, temperate                | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf                          | XH | XH | XH |        |
| Ulmus pumila              | Woody          | Temperate, boreal/arctic           | Humid, semi-arid, arid | Fruit                         | XH | XH |    |        |
| Vitis vinifera            | Perennial vine | Tropical, temperate, boreal/arctic | Humid, semi-arid       | Leaf                          | VH | VH | VH |        |

Table 4. Multi-nutrient species to address traditional malnutrition.

"Tropical" indicates lowland tropics, highland tropics, and/or subtropics. "Temperate" indicates warm temperate and/or cold temperate. "Boreal/arctic" indicates boreal and/or arctic. "XH" indicates extremely high levels, over twice the highest level found in the reference crops. "VH" indicates very high levels between the highest level of reference crops and double the level of reference crops. "H" indicates high levels, in the highest tertile of the reference crops.

\* Cultivated for its young leaves as a vegetable in four African countries, but mature leaves are a powerful laxative [36].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.t004

| Name                      | Form           | Thermal Climate          | Moisture              | Part                          | Fiber | Ca | Mg | A  | С  | E  |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Asclepias syriaca         | Perennial herb | Temperate                | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          |       | VH |    | VH | XH |    |
| Atriplex halimus          | Woody          | Tropical, temperate      | Humid semi-arid, arid | Leaf                          | VH    | XH | XH |    |    |    |
| Bambusa polymorpha        | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Shoot                         | VH    | VH | VH |    |    |    |
| Cnidoscolus aconitifolius | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid semi-arid, arid | Leaf                          |       | VH | VH | XH | VH |    |
| Coccinia grandis          | Perennial vine | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf, unripe fruit            | VH    |    |    | Н  |    | XH |
| Dicliptera chinensis      | Perennial herb | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          |       | VH |    | VH |    | XH |
| Epilobium angustifolium   | Perennial herb | Temperate, boreal/arctic | Humid, semi-arid      | Shoot                         | Н     | Н  | VH |    | VH |    |
| Gnetum gnemon             | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          | VH    |    | Н  | Н  | VH | H  |
| Limnocharis flava         | Perennial herb | Tropical                 | Aquatic               | Leaf, stem, flowerbud         | VH    | XH | XH | Н  |    |    |
| Manihot esculenta         | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          | Н     | VH |    | VH | XH | XH |
| Momordica cochinchinensis | Perennial vine | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf, unripe fruit, fruit     | Н     | VH |    | VH | XH | XH |
| Moringa oleifera          | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf, unripe fruit, flowerbud | Н     | VH | VH | VH | VH | Н  |
| Morus alba                | Woody          | Tropical, temperate      | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          | VH    | VH | VH | VH | VH |    |
| Pisonia umbellifera       | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          | VH    | VH | VH |    |    |    |
| Sauropus androgynus       | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          |       |    |    | VH | VH | XH |
| Senna obtusifolia         | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf*                         |       | VH |    | XH | VH |    |
| Senna sophera             | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          |       | Н  |    | VH | VH | VH |
| Sesbania grandiflora      | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          | XH    | VH | VH |    | Н  | Н  |
| Silene vulgaris           | Perennial herb | Temperate, boreal/arctic | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          | VH    |    |    | VH |    | XH |
| Solanum aethiopicum       | Perennial herb | Tropical*                | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          |       | VH |    | VH |    | XH |
| Toona sinensis            | Woody          | Tropical, temperate      | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          |       | VH |    | XH | VH | XH |
| Trichanthera gigantea     | Woody          | Tropical                 | Humid                 | Leaf                          |       | XH | XH |    |    |    |
| Urtica dioica             | Perennial herb | Temperate, boreal/arctic | Humid                 | Leaf                          | Н     | VH | Н  |    | VH | XH |
| Vitis vinifera            | Perennial vine | Tropical, temperate      | Humid, semi-arid      | Leaf                          | XH    | VH | VH | VH |    | Н  |

Table 5. Multi-nutrient species to address industrial diet deficiencies.

"Tropical" indicates lowland tropics, highland tropics, and/or subtropics. "Temperate" indicates warm temperate and/or cold temperate. "Boreal/arctic" indicates boreal and/or arctic. \*Perennial frequently grown as an annual. "XH" indicates extremely high levels, over twice the highest level found in the reference crops. "VH" indicates very high levels between the highest level of reference crops and double the level of reference crops. "H" indicates high levels, in the highest tertile of the reference crops. \* Cultivated for its young leaves as a vegetable in four African countries, but mature leaves are a powerful laxative [36].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234611.t005

meet nutrient needs and b) an emphasis is placed on woody PVs rather than vines and herbaceous perennials.

PVs offer a modest but important contribution to agricultural climate change mitigation on vegetable cropland. Potential global impact of improved management of all global cropland is estimated at 1,400–2,300 MMT CO2-eq by 2050 [14]. As 3.5% of world cropland is in vegetable production currently [6], the mitigation potential for this land is 49–80 MMT CO2-eq/yr in 2050 under improved management. Increased PV adoption would sequester 22.7–280.6 MMT CO2-eq in 2050, demonstrating a notable improvement in carbon dioxide removal and storage in soils and perennial biomass via the partial perennialization of vegetable production.

Perennial crops, as a class, are not more nutritious than annual crops as a class. Indeed some annual crops (notably the *Amaranthus* species) greatly exceed the nutrition of the reference crops, clearly standing out for their ability to address nutrient deficiencies. However, the nutrition of perennials as a class, and of many individual perennial crops, certainly outperforms the reference vegetables tracked by FAO (the reference vegetables include both annuals and perennials, but only those that are widely grown and traded). The high frequency of superabundance in woody PVs is clearly shown in Fig 4.

Regarding the top ten species for each key nutrient, the superior performance of perennial species is notable, particularly woody perennials. It is also noteworthy that, of the crops tracked by FAO, only cassava leaf makes it onto these lists. It would appear that the vegetables which are most widely grown and marketed are not those best suited to addressing nutrient deficiencies. An exception is folate, for which few vegetables, annual or perennial, exceeded the set of reference vegetables. Folate, however, is also the least-reported nutrient of the nine nutrients we examined (81 data points, compared with 221 for calcium).

Some species are found on the multi-nutrient tables for both traditional malnutrition and industrial diet deficiencies. These species represent extremely powerful tools to address nutrient deficiencies. They include the woody plants *Cnidoscolus aconitifolius*, *Manihot esculenta*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Morus alba*, *Senna obtusifolia*, *S. sophera*, and *Toona sinensis*, the perennial vines *Momordica cochinchinensis* and *Vitis vinifera*, and the perennial herb *Solanum aethiopicum*.

The meta-analysis approach taken in this study does not account for differences between variety, climate, farming system and practice, and soils, all of which can impact nutritional composition. [67]. Further research should account for and determine the reasons for variation within species.

Data on sequestration rates of PV species, in various production systems, are needed. This would allow improved climate impact projections.

### Conclusions

Perennial vegetables represent a very large and neglected group of crops. They have potential to increase carbon sequestration in vegetable production and address nutrient deficiencies affecting over 2 billion people. They offer an important set of tools to tackle some of the key challenges of the 21st century.

This study found that the vegetables which are most widely grown and marketed are not those best able to address nutrient deficiencies, with a few exceptions. As world vegetable production needs to be tripled to provide healthy diets for all, efforts should be made to incorporate PVs in the new production areas.

It is especially good news that tree vegetables are among the most nutritious, feature high sequestration rates, and represent over a quarter of PV species. Note that tree vegetables are not confined to the tropics, with multiple species for colder climates, even including cold drylands.

The identification of species with high levels of multiple key nutrients offers to potential to highlight these crops in development and nutrition campaigns. Regional programs could identify species suited to the climate and targeted to the specific nutrient needs of the population. Given the wide distribution of PVs, species native to a given region can be prioritized.

Perennial vegetables can play a role in the perennialization of agriculture to increase carbon sequestration in soil and perennial biomass. Their potential for use in agroforestry systems, especially given the abundance of shade-tolerant PV species, is notable given the high sequestration rates of agroforestry systems. It should be noted that some PVs sequester far more carbon than others, notably the full-sized woody plants like trees, palms, and bamboos.

Time and time, again around the world, over the course of thousands of years, farmers and gardeners have taken these species into cultivation. Their highly desirable biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and nutrition impacts should be a major motivation to research, cultivate, and promote PV crops. This study is intended as a launching point for initiatives to increase the adoption and utilization of these remarkable crops.

### Supporting information

**S1 Table. Cultivated perennial vegetable species of the world.** An inventory of 613 cultivated perennial vegetable species with form, part used, and climate suitability. (XLSX)

**S2 Table. Perennial vegetable nutrition.** Mata-analysis of data on nutrition of 240 annual and perennial vegetable species. (DOCX)

**S1 Data. Top ten species by nutrient concentration.** Tables of the ten vegetable species highest in each of the key nutrients for addressing traditional malnutrition and industrial diet deficiencies.

(XLSX)

**S1 Fig. Nutritional data acquired by plant form and part used.** This figure displays the number of data points acquired for each nutrient, their distribution across crops by plant form and part used. Numbers in parenthesis on the vertical axis represent the number of crops in that category. Numbers in parenthesis on the horizontal axis represent the total number of data points for that nutrient. Numbers in cells show the number of data points of a given nutrient for a given category of crops. Fill color in each cell represents the proportion of crops in the given category for which data on the given nutrient is present. (TIF)

### Acknowledgments

This research was inspired by the work of David Kennedy and Leaf for Life. Thank you to Educational Concerns for Hunger Organization and the World Vegetable Centre for assistance in obtaining data.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Eric Toensmeier, Rafter Ferguson.

Data curation: Eric Toensmeier.

Formal analysis: Eric Toensmeier.

Investigation: Eric Toensmeier.

Methodology: Eric Toensmeier, Rafter Ferguson.

Project administration: Eric Toensmeier.

Visualization: Rafter Ferguson, Mamta Mehra.

Writing – original draft: Eric Toensmeier.

Writing - review & editing: Eric Toensmeier, Rafter Ferguson, Mamta Mehra.

### References

- 1. Pimentel D, Cerasale D, Stanley R. Annual vs. perennial grain production. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2012; 161: 1–9.
- 2. Seemanthani B. A study of practices and problems in the cultivation of some perennial vegetables in Madras State. South Indian Hort. 1964; 12:1–5.

- Chadha K, Patel V. Prospect of indigenous perennial plants as source of vegetable. In International Conference on Indigenous Vegetables and Legumes. Prospectus for Fighting Poverty, Hunger and Malnutrition 752 2006 Dec 12 (pp. 49–54).
- 4. Toensmeier E. Perennial vegetables: From artichoke to "zuiki" taro, a gardener's guide to over 100 delicious, easy-to-grow edibles. White River Junction, Chelsea Green, 2007.
- 5. Crawford M. How to grow perennial vegetables: Low-maintenance, low-impact vegetable gardening. Totnes, Green Books, 2012.
- Food and Agriculture Organization 2019a. FAOStat online database. Accessed August 8, 2019. <u>http://www.fao.org/faostat/en#home</u>
- 7. Lavee S. World olive encyclopedia. International Olive Oil Council. 1996(1):61–106.
- 8. Ponnuswami (n.d.) Advances in Production of Moringa. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, n.d. http://agritech.tnau.ac.in/horticulture/pdf/Moringa%20English%20book.pdf.
- 9. International Bioversity. Mainstreaming agrobiodiversity in sustainable food systems: Scientific foundations for an agrobiodiversity index. Rome, Bioversity International, 2017.
- 10. Hufford M, Mier y Teran J, Gepts P. Crop biodiversity: An unfinished magnum opus of nature. Annual Reviews of Plant Biology (70) 727–51, 2019.
- 11. Dawson I, McMullin S, Kindt R, Muchugi A, Hendre P, Lilleso J. Delivering perennial new and orphan crops for resilient and nutritious farming systems. In Rosenstock T (ed). The climate-smart agriculture papers. Dordrecht, Springer, 2019.
- Altieri M, Nicholls C. Biodiversity and pest management in agroecosystems, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Boca Raton, CRC Press, 2018.
- Toensmeier E. The carbon farming solution: A global toolkit of perennial crops and regenerative agriculture practices for climate change mitigation and food security. White River Junction, Chelsea Green, 2016.
- Mbow, C, Rosenzweig, C, Barioni, L, Benton, T, Herrero, M, Krishnapillai, M. "Food Security." In IPCC. Climate and change and land: A special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2019. https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl-report-download-page/.
- 15. Li, Wenhua. Agro-ecological farming systems in China. Paris UNESCO, 2001.
- Badahur K, Dias GM, Veeramani A, Swanton CJ, Fraser D, Steinke D. When too much isn't enough: Does current food production meet global nutritional needs?. PloS one. 2018 Oct 23; 13(10): e0205683. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205683 PMID: 30352069
- 17. Kennedy David. 21<sup>st</sup> century greens: Leaf vegetables in nutrition and sustainable agriculture. Berea, Leaf for Life, 2011.
- Muthayya S, Rah J, Sugimoto J, Roos F, Kraemer K, Black R. The global hidden hunger indices and maps: An advocacy tool for action. PLoS One. 2013 Jun 12; 8(6):e67860 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0067860 PMID: 23776712
- de Baaij J, Hoenderop J, Bindels R. Magnesium in man: Implications for health and disease. Physiological reviews. 95(1):1–46, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00012.2014 PMID: 25540137
- USDA and USHHS. Dietary guidelines for Americans, 2010, 7th edition. Washington DC, US Government printing Office, 2010.
- **21.** Suter P. Carbohydrates and dietary fiber. In Atherosclerosis: Diet and Drugs 2005 (pp. 231–261). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- 22. Siti H, Kamisah Y, Kamsiah J. The role of oxidative stress, antioxidants and vascular inflammation in cardiovascular disease (a review). Vascular Pharmacology (71) 40–56, 2015.
- Tabora PC, Balick MJ, Bovi ML, Guerra MP. Hearts of palm (Bactris, Euterpe and others). Pulses and Vegetables, Chapman and Hall, London. 1993:193–218.
- Pennington J, Fischer R. Classification of fruits and vegetables. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, (22S) S23–S31, 2009.
- Kays S. and Dias João. Cultivated vegetables of the world: Latin binomial, common names in 15 languages, edible part, and method of preparation. Athens, Evon Press; 1996.
- Hanelt P. Mansfeld's encyclopedia of agricultural and horticultural crops, excepting ornamentals. Berlin; Springer, 2001.
- 27. León Jorge. Botanica de los cultivos tropicales: Tercera edición revisada y aumentada. San José, Instituto Interamericano de Cooperación para la Agricultura; 2000.
- Arnold T, Wells M, Wehmeyer A. Khoisan food plants: Taxa with potential for future economic exploitation. In Wickens G, Goodin J, Field D, eds, Plants for arid lands, London, Unwin Hyman, 1985.

- 29. Bryan, J. Leguminous trees with edible beans, with indications of a rhizobial symbiosis in non-nodulating legumes. PhD Thesis, Yale University, 1995.
- Burnette R. Leaves, shoots and hearts: A guide to some of Northern Thailand's perennial vegetables. Chiang Mai, Upper Holistic Development Project, n.d.
- 31. Facciola S. Cornucopia II: A source book of edible plants. Vista, Kampong Publications, 1998.
- 32. Flach M, Rumawas F. Plant resources of South-East Asia, Volume 9: Plants yielding non-seed carbohydrates. Leiden, Backhuys Publishers, 1996.
- Dupriez D, De Leener P. African gardens and orchards: Growing vegetables and fruit. London, Macmillan, 1989.
- 34. French B. Food plants of Papua New Guinea. Sheffield, Food Plants International, 2004.
- **35.** Gray B. The boreal herbal: Wild food and medicine plants of the North. Whitehorse, Aroma Borealis Press, 2011.
- Grubbe G, Denton O. Plant resources of tropical Africa, Volume 2: Vegetables. Wageningen, Backhuys Publishers, 2004.
- 37. Guil-Guerrero J. Edible wild plants. In Majundar D, Govil J, Singh V, eds. Recent progress in medicinal plants. Texas, Sci Tech Publishing, 2002.
- 38. Hu S. Food plants of China. Hong Kong, Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2005.
- Jacke D, Toensmeier E. Edible forest gardens: Ecological vision, theory, design, and practice for temperate climate permaculture. White River Junction, Chelsea Green, 2005.
- 40. Janick J, Paull RE, editors. The encyclopedia of fruit and nuts. CABI; 2008.
- 41. Kuhnlein HV, Turner NJ. Traditional plant foods of Canadian indigenous peoples: nutrition, botany, and use. Taylor & Francis; 1991.
- 42. Kunkel G. Plants for human consumption. Koenigstein, Koeltz Sientific Books, 1984.
- Lin L, Hsiao Y, Kuo C. Discovering indigenous treasures: Promising indigenous vegetables from around the world. Shanhua, AVDRC, 2009.
- 44. Martin F, Doku E, and Ruberté R. ECHO's inventory of tropical vegetables. Fort Myers, Educational Concerns for Hunger Organization, n.d.
- **45.** Martin F. Plants for use in permaculture in the tropics, Second edition. Sparr, Yankee Permaculture, 2009.
- Martin F., Ruberté R, and Meitzner L. Edible leaves of the tropics, Third edition. Fort Myers, Educational Concerns for Hunger Organization, 1998.
- 47. Plants for a Future. Plants for a future database. https://pfaf.org/user/Default.aspx
- **48.** Romanowski N. Edible water gardens: Growing water plants for food and profit. Flemington; Hyland House, 2007.
- 49. Rubatzky V, and Yamaguchi M. World vegetables: Principles, production, and nutritive values, Second edition. Gaithersburg, Aspen Publishers, 1999.
- Siemonsma J. and Piluek K., eds. Plant resources of South-East Asia volume 8: Vegetables. Waigeningen, Pudoc Scientific Publishers, 1993.
- 51. Useful tropical plants database. http://tropical.theferns.info/
- 52. Wyk Van, Ben-Eric. Food plants of the world: An illustrated guide. Portland, Timber Press, 2005.
- Wijaya C and Epriliata I. Indonesian vegetables: 50 Indonesian veggies for daily use. Jakarta, Kompas Gramedia, 2013.
- 54. Toensmeier E. "Perennial staple crops and agroforestry for climate change mitigation." In Montagnini F. (ed). Integrating landscapes: Agroforestry for biodiversity conservation and food sovereignty. Advances in Agroforestry 12, Dordrecht, Springer, 2017.
- 55. Sofo A, Nuzzo V, Palese A, Xiloyannis C, Celano G, Zukowskyj P. "Net CO2 storage in Mediterranean olive and peach orchards." Scientia Horticulturae 107(1) 17–24, 2005.
- 56. Schroth G, D'Angelo S, Teixeria W, Haag D, Leiberei R. "Conversion of secondary forest into agroforestry and monoculture plantations in Amazonia: Consequences for biomass, litter and soil carbon stocks after 7 years." Forest Ecology and Management 163 (1) 131–150, 2002.
- **57.** Egbe E, Tabot P. "Carbon sequestration in eight woody non-timber forest species and their economic potentials in southwestern Cameroon." Applied Ecology and Environmental Research 9(4): 369–385, 2011.
- Lasco R, Ogle S, Raison J, Verchot L, Wassmann R, Yagi K. 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: Volume 4: Agriculture, forestry and other land use. Hayama, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, 2006.

- Nath AJ, Lal R, Das AK. Managing woody bamboos for carbon farming and carbon trading. Global Ecology and Conservation. 2015 Jan 1; 3:654–63.
- 60. Project Drawdown. Land use sector summary. https://www.drawdown.org/solutions/land-use.
- Vicente-Vicente J, García-Ruiz R, Francaviglia R, Aguilera E, Smith P. "Soil carbon sequestration rate under Mediterranean woody crops using recommended management practices: A meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment (235) 204–214, 2016.
- 62. Lorenz K, Lal R. Carbon sequestration in agricultural ecosystems. Dordrecht, Springer, 2018.
- Olsson, L, Barbosa, H. "Land Degradation." In IPCC. Climate and change and land: A special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2019. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl-report-download-page/</u>.
- **64.** Zirkle G, Lal R, Augustin B, Follett R. Modeling carbon sequestration in the US residential landscape. In Carbon sequestration in urban ecosystems 2012 (pp. 265–276). Springer, Dordrecht.
- **65.** Abbad A, El Hadrami A, El Hadrami I, Benchaabane A. Seasonal composition of leaves of three *Atriplex halimus* (Chenopodiaceae) natural populations grown in a common garden. Pakistan Jrnl Bio Sci. 2004 7(2): 203–208.
- Brand J, Cherikoff V. The nutritional content of Australian Aboriginal food plants of the desert regions. In Wickens G, Goodin J, Field D, eds, Plants for arid lands, London, Unwin Hyman, 1985.
- Burlingame B, Charrondiere R, Mouille B. Food composition is fundamental to the cross-cutting initiative on biodiversity for food and nutrition. J of Food Composition and Analysis, 22: 361–365, 2009.
- Center for Indigenous People's Nutrition and Environment. Traditional food composition data. <a href="https://www.mcgill.ca/cine/files/cine/Traditional\_Food\_Composition\_Nutribase.pdf">https://www.mcgill.ca/cine/files/cine/Traditional\_Food\_Composition\_Nutribase.pdf</a>
- Chongtham N, Bisht MS, Haorongbam S. Nutritional properties of bamboo shoots: potential and prospects for utilization as a health food. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. 2011 May; 10(3):153–68.
- de Cortes Sánchez-Mata M, Tardío J, editors. Mediterranean wild edible plants: ethnobotany and food composition tables. SpringePr; 2016 Apr 12.
- 71. Dignan C, Burlingame B, Kumar S, Aalbersberg W. The Pacific Islands food composition tables. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2004.
- 72. Duke JA. Handbook of energy crops. Handbook of Energy Crops. 1983. https://www.hort.purdue.edu/ newcrop/duke\_energy/dukeindex.html
- 73. Duke J, Atchley A. Handbook of proximate analysis tables of higher plants. Boca Raton, CRC Press, 1986.
- 74. Ernährung A, Forsten L. Auswertungs-und Informationsdienst für Ernährung, Landwirtschaft und Forsten. 1979.
- 75. Englberger L, Aalbersberg W, Ravi P, Bonnin E, Marks GC, Fitzgerald MH. Further analyses on Micronesian banana, taro, breadfruit and other foods for provitamin A carotenoids and minerals. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2003 Apr 1; 16(2):219–36.
- 76. Food and Agriculture Organization 2019b. Accessed August 8, 2019. Feedipedia: Animal feed resources information system. https://www.feedipedia.org/
- Food and Agriculture Organization. Food composition tables for the Near East. 1982. <u>http://www.fao.org/3/X6879E/X6879E00.htm</u>
- 78. Freiberger CE, Vanderjagt DJ, Pastuszyn A, Glew RS, Mounkaila G, Millson M. Nutrient content of the edible leaves of seven wild plants from Niger. Plant foods for Human nutrition. 1998 Mar 1; 53(1):57–69. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1008080508028 PMID: 10890758
- **79.** French B. Food composition tables for food plants in Papua New Guinea. West St Burnie, Tasmania. 2006.
- 80. Han PJ, Chang KS. Studies on utilization of Sombadi (Dystaenia takesimana N.) as human food. Res Rep Off Rural Dev Hortic Agri Engine Korea Repub. 1976.
- 81. Institute of Nutrition, Mahidol University. ASEAN food composition tables, First edition. <u>http://www.</u> inmu.mahidol.ac.th/aseanfoods/composition\_data.html
- 82. Kallas J. Edible wild plants: Wild foods from dirt to plate. Layton, Gibbs Smith, 2011.
- 83. Korean food composition table, 9th revision. http://koreanfood.rda.go.kr/eng/fctFoodSrchEng/ engMain
- **84.** Leterme P, Buldgen A, Estrada F, Londoño AM. Mineral content of tropical fruits and unconventional foods of the Andes and the rain forest of Colombia. Food Chemistry. 2006 Apr 1; 95(4):644–52.
- 85. Lim T. Edible medicinal and non-medicinal plants, Volume 1. Dordrecht, The Netherlands:: Springer; 2012a.

- Lim T. Edible medicinal and non-medicinal plants, Volume 6. Dordrecht, The Netherlands:: Springer; 2012b.
- 87. Lim T. Edible medicinal and non-medicinal plants, Volume 7. Dordrecht, The Netherlands:: Springer; 2012c.
- **88.** Longvah T, Anantan I, Bhaskarachary K, Venkaiah K. Indian food composition tables. Hyderabad: National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research; 2017 May.
- Loukrapah B, Rajendram A, Chyne D, Longvan T. Nutrient and phytonutrient profiles of some indigenous vegetables of Manipur, Northeast India. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis (79) 12–22, 2019.
- 90. Luske, B. Meir, I, Altinalmasiz, K, Eekeren, N. Online fodder tree database for Europe. (n.d.) http:// www.voederbomen.nl/nutritionalvalues/
- Malasawmkimi S, Markose L. Genetic variability in clove bean (*Ipomoea muricata* (I.) Jacq.). Veg Sci 2008 53(2): 192–193.
- Mehraj H, Nishimura Y, Shimasaki K. Analysis of essential macro-micro mineral content of twelve hosta taxa. Annals of Agricultural Science 62(2017) 71–74.
- 93. Melo E. Alimentos regionais Brasileros, 2nd edition. Brasília, Ministério de Saúde, 2012.
- 94. Menchú MT, Méndez H, Barrera MA, Ortega L. Tabla de composición de alimentos de Centroamérica. Organizacion Panamericana de la Salud; 1996 Sep.
- Niro S, Fratianni A, Panfili G, Falasca L, Cinquanta L, Alam MR. Nutritional evaluation of fresh and dried goji berries cultivated in Italy. Italian Journal of Food Science. 2017 Feb 26; 29(3).
- Phillips KM, Pehrsson PR, Agnew WW, Scheett AJ, Follett JR, Lukaski HC. Nutrient composition of selected traditional United States Northern plains native American plant foods. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2014 Jun 1; 34(2):136–52.
- 97. Román-Cortés N, García-Mateos MD, Castillo-González AM, Sahagún-Castellanos J, Jiménez-Arellanes A. Componentes nutricionales y antioxidantes de dos especies de guaje (Leucaena spp.): un recurso ancestral subutilizado. Revista Chapingo. Serie horticultura. 2014 Aug; 20(2):157–70.
- **98.** Seal T, Pillai B, Chaudhuri K. Nutritional potential of five unexplored wild edible plants consumed by the tribal people of Arunachal Pradesh state in India.
- 99. Shaheen N, Rahim AT, Mohiduzzaman M, Banu CP, Bari ML, Tukun AB, et al. Food composition table for Bangladesh. Final Research Results. 2013; 187.
- 100. Souci S, Fachmann W, Kraut H. Food composition and nutrition tables. Stuttgart, MedPharm Scientific Publishers, 2008.
- Srivastava S, Kapoor R, Thathola A, Srivastava RP. Nutritional quality of leaves of some genotypes of mulberry (Morus alba). International journal of food sciences and nutrition. 2006 Jan 1; 57(5–6):305– 13. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637480600801837 PMID: 17135021
- Smith IF, Thiam I, Burlingame B. Composition of selected foods from West Africa. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome. 2010:13–4.
- 103. Tuncturk M, Eryigit T, Sekeroglu N, Ozgokce F. Chemical composition of some edible wild plants grown in Eastern Anatolia. American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products. 2015; 2(3):31–4.
- 104. USDA. USDA Food Composition Databases. Accessed August 8, 2019. https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/
- 105. Villasana, A, Solano, J, Martínez, E, Carrillo, C, Gonzalez, M, Curiel, A. Tablas de uso práctico de los alimentos de mayor consumo "Miriam Muñoz", Tercera edición. Santa Fe, McGraw Hill Interamericana, 2014.
- 106. Watanabe T, Kawai R. Advances in food composition tables in Japan. Food Chem (238) 16–21, 2018.
- 107. World Vegetable Center. Phytonutrient data. Accessed August 8, 2019. <u>http://nutrition.worldveg.org/nutrientcontent.asp</u>
- Wu W, Rauanheimo B, Huang C, Narayana R, Polacchi W. Food composition table for use in East Asia. Food & Agriculture Org.; 1972.
- **109.** Yang Y, Wang G, Pan X. China food composition, Second edition. Beijing, Peking University Medical Press, 2009.
- 110. Carbonell-Capella JM, Buniowska M, Barba FJ, Esteve MJ, Frígola A. Analytical methods for determining bioavailability and bioaccessibility of bioactive compounds from fruits and vegetables: A review. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. 2014 Mar; 13(2):155–71.
- 111. National Institutes of Health. Nutrient recommendations: Dietary reference intakes (DRI). <u>https://ods.od.nih.gov/Health\_Information/Dietary\_Reference\_Intakes.aspx</u>.